aesarean Epidemic Who's Having This Baby, Anyway-You Or The Doctor?

By Gena Corea

Photograph by Britain Hill

D on't go to the hospital," Julie Olsen tried to warn her pregnant sister, Laurie, when she heard that, though Laurie's labor had not yet begun, her membranes had ruptured. Too late. Laurie had left.

Independently, Kathie, another sister, attempted to reach Laurie to tell her: "Don't let them give you Pitocin." But by that time, the Pitocin drip had already been started.

Karla, eldest of the four sisters, also tried to protect Laurie that day—the day Laurie's first baby, Jesse, was born—delivered by Caesarean section.

Laurie's is a close family with an extraordinary mother, Tillie Olsen, author of the feminist classic, *Tell Me A Riddle*, and more recently, *Silences*. Laurie's father, Jack Olsen, teaches labor history and is a former union organizer. The work of the three elder daughters is concerned with child-birth and feminist health. Perhaps these are reasons why they understood, and were especially outraged by, what happened to Laurie.

A policy researcher and a writer who at one time worked with The Feminist Press, Laurie is the youngest Olsen daughter. When she became pregnant, she and her husband Michael Margulis, a musician, began voraciously reading books and articles on childbirth. They took Bradley natural childbirth classes and talked extensively with midwives. Though they would have preferred a midwife-attended delivery, they felt confident they could handle the birth in the hospital facilities offered by their health plan. After all, they were prepared and Laurie was in excellent health.

Laurie's waters broke at five a.m. and three hours later, on July 25, 1979, she and Michael were at their hospital in Oakland, California.

The doctor there told them that the hospital had a policy on ruptured membranes: the baby must be delivered within 24 hours because of the danger of infection. The membranes of the "bag of waters" that surround a fetus protect it from any bacteria that might come up the vagina and into the uterus. So if Laurie's labor did not begin soon, the doctors wanted to induce it intravenously by giving her Pitocin, a drug that stimulates uterine contractions.

By 10 a.m. Laurie was hooked up to an electronic fetal monitor (EFM) and an intravenous feeding tube. She was lying on her back in deference to the machine's needs and could hardly move. The EFM made Laurie uncomfortable. The EFM, a key part of this story, is a large machine which is wheeled to the bedside of a woman in labor. An audio device, a screen like that of an oscilloscope and a steadily unrolling paper tape record the heartbeat of the fetus and the strength of the mother's contractions.

At 11 a.m., the resident physician came in and said that Laurie's labor would be induced by Pitocin. "It's policy," she explained.

aurie and Michael decided not to enter into an adversary relationship with the doctors. They did not want to fight alone against the hospital, especially when they were not confident they knew enough to challenge its policy. But for all their awareness of the complexities of birth, they were not prepared with practical alternatives when the hospital's rules began to work against them.

If Laurie and Michael could have gone to a medical library, this is what they would have found:

In order to avoid the danger of infection associated with

This Article Cost Us \$104.000



That's right, \$104,000....\$3,000 to research and write the story and \$101,000 in lost advertising revenue.

Lorillard Corporation was displeased with our suggestion that cigarettes be labeled "addictive" and declined to renew their advertising contract for 1979. Several other advertisers loyally followed suit.

Investigative reporting is expensive. Even when advertisers don't bolt on us, it's expensive. Discovering things about people and corporations that they don't want you to know is painstaking, time-consuming work. Word for word, an investigative story costs us almost three times what a regular feature costs.

We want to continue to be a leading investigative magazine—independent, unintimidated and aggressive. But we need your help. To defray the extra cost of in-depth reporting, we have formed a separate fund, *The Mother Jones Investigative Fund*.

Without the *Investigative Fund*, we could continue to publish a monthly magazine and run two, maybe three investigative stories a year. But there are so many more stories out there that you need to know to survive these troubled and poisonous times.

The money from the Fund is used to:

- Support an augmented staff of investigative reporters and research assistants.
- Establish a legal refuge to which anyone can safely turn with information about corporate or governmental misdeeds—a sanctuary for whistleblowers.
- Stimulate organization and activism around the subjects of our investigations like organizing Pinto recalls and assisting the Nestlé boycott.
- Disseminate information to consumer groups about effective ways to make business and government more responsive to people's needs.

If, like so many of our readers, you depend on *Mother Jones* for coverage of subjects the rest of the media won't touch, for fear they will lose advertisers or offend investors, then we invite you to become a sponsor of *The Mother Jones Investigative Fund*.

When you do, we will send you our quarterly newsletter, *The Insider*, published exclusively for sponsors of *The Mother Jones Investigative Fund*. In *The Insider*, we will tell you investigative "war stories," show you a few tricks of the trade, recount a few tense moments and an anecdote or two.

So become a sponsor of the *Fund* and become an "insider." When you read stories in *Mother Jones* that are financed by the *Fund*, you can proudly take some credit for their being there.

Use the envelope next to this page or send your contributions directly to:

THE MOTHER JONES INVESTIGATIVE FUND 625 Third Street San Francisco, California 94107